Re: EU guide for Member States on good practices for patient blood management

5. september 2016 14.55 9 KB

Fra:

Leder Norsk anestesiologisk forening

Til:

European Society of Anaesthesiology

Kopi:

Naf Styret

Alltid last inn eksterne bilder fra Leder Norsk anestesiologisk forening <leder@nafweb.no>

Hi!

I am sorry for the delayed response, which is partly due to a travel abroad. The comment time limit was 31 August. I will nevertheless submit a few comments, if it could be of any interest:

The guidelines seem quite comprehensive concerning bleeding disorder and factor deficits etc. However, we think that certain areas could be improved:

- 1. Burn surgery (which normally causes heavy bleeding and often include use of certain drugs to limit the bleeding) and trauma surgery should be added to the present guidelines.
- 2. The guidelines could include comments and recommendations regarding "transfusion packages" and the proportion of the different blood component
- 3. The guidelines should discuss the use of full blood
- 4. Use of cold platelets could also be discussed

Regards Reidar Kvåle President

The Norwegian Society of Anaethesia and Intensive care

Norsk anestesiologisk forening leder@nafweb.no

Den 1. august 2016 kl. 15.47.56 +02.00 skrev European Society of Anaesthesiology

European Society of Anaesthesiology Rue des Comédiens 24 B-1000 Brussels www.esahq.org



ESA Endorsement of the EU Guideline: PBM Implementation Guide

ESA Active Members are invited to comment for the ESA endorsement on the "EU guide for Member States on good practices for patient blood management" produced under the EU Health Programme (2008-2013) in the frame of a service contract with the Consumers, Health and Food Executive Agency (Chafea) under the mandate from the European Commission.

Please consult the guideline on the ESA website and comment using the dedicated form.

- Go to http://www.esahq.org/guidelines/guidelines/about-the-guidelines
- Login to access members' only area (top of page)
- Click on tab PBM Implementation Guide

We would be especially pleased to receive comments on the following points:

Practicality - How well does the guideline address everyday situations and problems in this area?

Readability – Is the guideline easy to read? Does it make sense?

Presentation - Is the layout clear?

Acceptability and generalisability – Does it fit into practice in your own country? Would the guideline as written now cause problems, or antagonise anyone?

All comments received from the ESA members will be reviewed by the authors of the guideline.

This draft guideline will be available for comments until 31 August 2016.

Many thanks for your help.

Edorado de Robertis

I h Palenti

Chair, ESA Guidelines Committee

